

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,811	09/700,811 01/16/2001		Richard Leman	2497/101	4275
2101	7590	05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
		JNSTEIN LLP	JENKINS, JE	JENKINS, JERMAINE L	
125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/700,811	LEMAN, RICHARD					
7.uv.os.y 7.ouo	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jermaine Jenkins	2855					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address					
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee may be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-19</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
• •	the state of the s						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	M	11/1/2					
	SUPERVISOR	RD LEFKOWITZ Y PATENT EXAMINER DGY CENTER 2800					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/700,811

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In claim 11, it recites a signal processor...generating a coded signal for wireless transmission which identifies the transponder unit and individual pressure sensor locations. The identification of each pressure sensor would raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant broadly claims that each pressure sensor is adapted to wirelessly transmit a signal. Since Handfield et al ('516) broadly teaches adapting the pressure sensor (28) to wirelessly transmit a signal by an antenna (36)(Column 6, lines 12-24); the reference clearly reads on the claimed limitation.